

Indirect Cost	s Outcomes Re	port		File Number	P0037	
Main Contact In	nformation					
Institution	_					
Mount Allison Univ	versity					
Contact Family Name			Contact Given Name			
Bruce Contact Position			David Contact Department			
Director			Office of Research Services			
Contact Telephone nu Area Code	Imber Number	Extension	Contact E-Mail address at institution			
506	364-2618	Extension	dwbruce@mta.ca			
000	304 2010					
	act Information					
Financial Contact Far	mily Name		Financial Contact Given Name			
MacIntosh Financial Contact Po	-:		Barb Financial Contact Department			
Controller	Sition		Financial Services			
Financial Contact Tel	lephone number		Financial Contact E-Mail address at ir	atitution		
Area Code	Number	Extension		ISULUUON		
506	364-2243		bmacintosh@mta.ca			
-						
Statement of A	ccount					
Total Indirect C	osts Funds availab	ole in 2014-2015	А		\$656,335	
Expenditures in	ncurred in 2014-2	2015				
Research Facil	ities				\$81,643	
Research Reso	ources				\$448,803	
					\$90,376	
-		Management and Administration Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation			\$27,721	
• •					\$27 721	
Intellectual Pro	•					
Intellectual Pro	perty		- 5		\$7,792	
	perty	incurred in 2014-201	5 В			
Total Indirect C	perty costs expenditures	incurred in 2014-201			\$7,792	
Total Indirect C	perty costs expenditures ommitments ^{(The expen}	incurred in 2014-201	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)		\$7,792	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co	perty costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before	incurred in 2014-201	vas not paid in the period ending March 31, but was		\$7,792	
Total Indirect C	perty costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before	incurred in 2014-201	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)		\$7,792	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co Health Researc	b perty costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before ch Affiliates vith health research affilia	incurred in 2014-201 aditure was incurred but the invoice w June 30. Be sure to include the con	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)	014-2015 grant tha	\$7,792 \$656,335	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co Health Researc For organizations v	costs expenditures costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before ch Affiliates with health research affilia ch affiliates.	incurred in 2014-201 aditure was incurred but the invoice w June 30. Be sure to include the con	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)	014-2015 grant tha	\$7,792 \$656,335 t was spent by	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co Health Research For organizations w your health research Research Facili	costs expenditures costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before ch Affiliates with health research affilia ch affiliates. ties	incurred in 2014-201 aditure was incurred but the invoice w June 30. Be sure to include the con	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)	014-2015 grant tha	\$7,792 \$656,335 t was spent by \$0	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co Health Research For organizations w your health research Research Facili Research Reso	operty costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before ch Affiliates with health research affilia ch affiliates. ties urces	incurred in 2014-201 aditure was incurred but the invoice w June 30. Be sure to include the con	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)	014-2015 grant tha	\$7,792 \$656,335 t was spent by \$0 \$0	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co Health Research For organizations w your health research Research Facili Research Reso Management ar	costs expenditures costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before ch Affiliates with health research affilia ch affiliates. ties urces nd Administration	incurred in 2014-201	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)	014-2015 grant tha	\$7,792 \$656,335 t was spent by \$0 \$0 \$0	
Total Indirect C Outstanding Co Health Research For organizations w your health research Research Facili Research Reso Management ar	costs expenditures costs expenditures commitments (The expen paid before ch Affiliates vith health research affilia ch affiliates. ties urces nd Administration uirements and Accredi	incurred in 2014-201	was not paid in the period ending March 31, but was nmitments in the appropriate area(s) above.)	014-2015 grant tha	\$7,792 \$656,335 t was spent by \$0 \$0	





Section I - Facilities

File Number

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?				
Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new Expenditures, you would check both A or B).				
Expenditure category	A) The grant covered existing expenditures	B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant)	C) The grant did not cover this category	In which category was the largest proportion of your 2014-2015 grant invested?
1. Renovation and maintenance of research facilities (excluding expenditures incurred to meet regulatory requirements - see Section IV)	X			
2. Upgrade, operations and maintenance of equipment			X	
3. Operating costs (custodial, security, maintenance, utilities, leasing, capital planning, insurance on research space)	X			X
4. Technical support for laboratories, offices and other facilities (excluding technical support for animal care - see section IV)	X			





Section I - Facilities (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are these expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- what percentage of your O&M expenditure supports CFI-funded equipment?

The Indirect Costs grant supported the ongoing operations and maintenance of research facilities across campus. There are no significant changes from the previous year.

The investments in these costs allow Mount Allison to develop highly skilled university graduates, highly skilled researchers and student mentors, to advance our research agenda in the areas of environment and health, and generally improve the higher education research environment at our institution.

The Indirect Costs grant supported custodial, security, utilities and facilities management services costs related to research space, and supported labour costs related to technical support for the Mount Allison Coastal Wetlands Institute.

The Indirect Costs grant enhances the capacity of the university to provide state-of-the-art laboratories and equipment for highly productive research. Without these Mount Allison's researchers would be limited in their capacity to engage in emerging research activities and technology and may seek other opportunities.



2009/03

File Number



research tools) (excluding technology to track grants and to provide financial services - see Section III) 3. Library operating costs

and administration (custodial, security, maintenance, utilities, leasing, capital planning,

4. Insurance on research

equipment and vehicles

staff salaries)

Indirect Co	osts Outcom	es Report
-------------	-------------	-----------

Section II - Research Resources

P0037

Expenditures					
Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways? Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A or B).					
Expenditure category	A) The grant covered existing expenditures	B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant)	C) The grant did not cover this category	In which category was the largest proportion of your 2014-2015 grant invested?	
1. Acquisition of library holdings (journals, books, collections, periodicals, Canada National Site Licensing project, etc.)	X				
2. Improvements to electronic information resources (access to databases, telecommunications systems, information technology systems, and			X		

Χ



Χ

Χ



Section II - Research Resources (continued)

File Number

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are theses expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- what proportion of the acquisitions and operating budget of the library is covered by the Indirect Costs Program?
- do you participate in inter-institutional consortia or partnerships to assist in cost reduction in this expenditure category?

There are no significant changes from the previous year.

The Indirect Costs grant provided assistance in supporting our University's operating costs for labour and associated costs of the University's professional librarians and library support staff. These individuals spend a significant portion of their efforts supporting and enhancing the University's research activities.

The Indirect Costs grant has been further used to enhance the University's collection of digital information - specifically on-line subscription to digital resources for research. About 40% of the university's acquisition budget is covered by the Indirect Costs Program.

These investments through the Indirect Costs grant are vital to maintaining research support to our faculty and staff - without these investments our researchers would have fewer resources to carry out their work.

The University participates in several Atlantic-wide library purchasing consortia.

The University's Indirect Costs of research grant also supports the University's Computing Services operations involved in research activities. Each year the University expands its computing facilities (upgrades in software, improvements to servers, etc.,) directed toward research activities and the Indirect Costs grant aids in support of these activities.

The University participates in several provincial and Atlantic-wide computing purchasing consortia. In addition, the University has a "redundancy server" arrangement with other university servers in the province, to ensure backup of the network in case of power outages.



P0037

Section III - Management and Administration

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways?

Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A and B).

Expenditure category	A) The grant covered existing expenditures	B) The grant covered new expenditures (not previously covered by grant)	C) The grant did not cover this category	In which category was the largest proportion of your 2014-2015 grant invested?
1. Institutional support for the completion of grant applications / research proposals.	X			X
2. Acquisition, maintenance and/or upgrade of information systems to track grant applications, certifications, and awards.			X	
3. Eligible training of faculty and research personnel (excluding training to meet regulatory requirements - see Section IV)			X	
4. Human resources and payroll	X			
5. Financial and audit costs	X			
6. Research planning and promotion, public relations	X			





Section III - Management and Administration (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are theses expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- do you participate in inter-institutional consortia or partnerships that assist in cost reduction in this expenditure category?

There are no significant changes from the previous year.

Indirect cost investments in our Office of Research Services have increased both competence and efficiency in administrative operations related to research at Mount Allison University.

First, the IDC program provides base salary support for the Director of Research Services as well as a Research Accounts Technician. The office supports knowledge transfer through grants, compliance (research ethics, animal care, etc) and research communications.

Our researchers benefit from a high level of one-to-one service regarding the facilitation of grant opportunities, interpretation of compliance requirements, contract management and intellectual property management. These investments in both people and training have been vital. Our IDC investments ultimately increase research productivity by reducing the administrative burden that researchers face managing their research programs.

These investments allow our Office of Research Services staff to be keep up with current trends, changes and best practices within research administration. Keeping apace of best practices is a challenge for small offices but it ultimately brings with it the ongoing enhancement of research services for faculty members across the research spectrum.

Second, the IDC program provides salary support for research support activities of the office of the Provost and Vice-President, Academic and Research. The members of this office spend a significant portion of time supporting researchers, supporting research initiatives, implementing policy, ensuring compliance with Tri-Council regulations, and much more.

Third, these investments in our research management and administration operations allow us to fulfill our obligations and commitments to many national funding and related organizations who require substantial financial and narrative reports on the use of research and related funding.

Page 7 of 14



File Number



Section IV - Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation

Expenditures

Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways? Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A and B). In which category was the Expenditure category A) The grant covered B) The grant covered new C) The grant did not largest proportion of your existing expenditures expenditures (not previously cover this category 2014-2015 grant invested? covered by grant) 1. Creation and support of Χ Χ regulatory bodies 2. Training of faculty and Χ other research personnel in health and safety, animal care, ethics review, handling radiation and biohazards, and environmental assessments 3. International accreditation Χ costs related to research capacity 4. Upgrades to, and Χ maintenance of facilities and equipment to meet requirements 5. Technical support for Χ animal care, handling of dangerous substances and biohazards

P0037

File Number



Section IV - Regulatory Requirements and Accreditation (continued)

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are theses expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?
- to what extent is compliance with Canadian and international regulations required to access research funds from international sources?

There are no significant changes from the previous year.

The Office of Research Services provides coordinated administrative support to both the Animal Care Committee and the Research Ethics Board, including records-keeping, file management and maintenance, and so on. These functions are carried out by the Director of Research Services with the assistance of one support staff. This support reduces the burden on committee chairs (who also contribute a significant amount of their time to the successful functioning of these necessary committees) and members, and provides efficient services to researchers who require these services.

These investments have ensured that researchers who submit protocols to the REB receive timely and appropriate responses in order to ensure that the research enterprise is not compromised by administrative burden. Further, these investments have ensured that our Office of Research Services, our Animal Care Committee, and our Research Ethics Board are connected to regional and national networks of committees and administrators, who can assist in the interpretation of the national standards and guidelines, provide feedback on review processes, and provide advice on contentious issues.

The IDC funds have been used to offset staff costs associated with preparing / revising protocol forms, establishing improved record keeping procedures, and so on.

The Indirect Costs funds are also used in part of offset the costs of having a veterinarian on call to support the regulatory requirements associated with animal research and the work of the Animal Care Committee.

File Number



Section V - Intellectual Property Expenditures Was your grant invested, completely or partially, in any of the following ways? Note that A and B are not exclusive (i.e. for any given category, if you have covered both existing and new expenditures, you would check both A and B). C) The grant did not In which category was the Expenditure category A) The grant covered B) The grant covered new largest proportion of your 2014-2015 grant invested? existing expenditures expenditures (not previously cover this category covered by grant) 1. Creation, expansion, or Χ sustenance of a technology transfer office or similar function 2. Administration of Χ invention patent applications 3. Support for technology Χ licensing 4. Administration of Χ X agreements and partnerships with industry 5.Administration of Χ agreements and partnerships with the public sector (federal, provincial, municipal governments; including health, education, and social services)

File Number



Section V - Intellectual Property (continued)

File Number

Impact Statement

Please explain how the expenditures made in this priority area have allowed your institution (and its health research affiliates, where applicable) to maintain and/or enhance the capacity of its research enterprise. The following questions can help guide your answer:

- what difference have your grant investments made?
- are there significant changes from the previous year?
- why are these investments vital for researchers?
- why are theses expenditures vital to the university research administration?
- what would have happened if expenditures hadn't been possible?
- what are the major cost drivers in this category?

There are no significant changes from the previous year.

Indirect cost investments in our Office of Research Services have increased both competency and efficiency in administrative operations related to helping researchers work through intellectual property issues at Mount Allison University.

The IDC program provides base salary support for the Director of Research Services as well as a Research

Accounts Technician. These staff in turn work closely with our Innovation Officer(whose salary is partially funded from other sources) who is responsible for these issues. Together the staff provides services on all research matters ranging from knowledge transfer through grants, compliance and research communications.

Strategic investment in training opportunities with indirect costs funds this year to support Intellectual Property Development include attendance by our Innovation Officer at Springboard-sponsored workshops and conferences. These have greatly enabled this small office to enhance its intellectual property management expertise and to provide a consistently high level of service to researchers working at Mount Allison University.

We support researchers with a high level of one-to-one service regarding intellectual property management, including advice on commercialization, patent filing and protection, business partnership development, company startups, etc. Our IDC investments ultimately increase research productivity by reducing the administrative burden that researchers face in developing their commercialization interests.

The Director of Research Services spends time working with researchers and funders working out details of contracts, contribution agreements, and other types of agreements, in order to facilitate new and exciting research activities that have high intellectual rigour while responding to the challenges and issues faced by the private sector, NGOs, and society as a whole. This work include proposal review, contract/agreement negotiation and review, and much more.

Our Innovation Officer has benefited from these investments, staying on top of the latest trends in the field, which in turn allows for better service to faculty.





Indirect Costs Outcomes Report	File Number	P0037
Section VI - Overall Impacts		
We strongly recommend that the Vice-President of research (or equivalent) answer the following question of the Indirect Costs grant.	s pertaining to the over	all impacts
1. Attraction and retention of researchers		
Has the Indirect Costs grant contributed to the attraction and retention of high-quality research	ers at your institution?	?
X Yes No If "yes", please provide an explanation. A key feature of our institution is our internal research grants program for both faculty and strour researchers to initiate new research agendas leading to larger research programs later of component of our retention strategy. The Indirect Costs investments have been used build capacity in our Research Office (for both Research Accounts Technician), in tandem with the Provost and Vice-President of Academic the internal grant competitions and applications processes and the appropriate use of these	n. This is an importan th the Director and th and Research, to su	nt
2. Attraction of additional funding		
Has the Indirect Costs grant contributed directly to your institution's ability to attract additional research environment?	funding to support th	е
X Yes No		
If "yes", please provide an explanation.		
The increased competency and abilities of the research office staff have improved the quality other sources of funds beyond the tri-council granting agencies (such as the New Brunswick Foundation, New Brunswick Innovation Foundation, New Brunswick Wildlife Trust Fund, New Brunswick Environmental Trust Fund, Environment has led to an increase in research funding support from these and other agencies. Additionally, 2014-15 was a very successful year for our institution with high success rates in Grant and SSHRC Insight Development Grant programs, due in part to the improved capacit development. Our university was also successful in receiving another 3-year grant under SS Universities program, and the SSHRC Standard Institutional grant (the proposals for which w Provost's office and the Office of Research Services).	Health Research Canada, etc) which i the NSERC Discove y to support quality p HRC's Aid to Small	in turn ery





Section VI - Overall Impacts

3. Redirection of funds

In the case of a number of institutions, the incremental impact of the Indirect Costs Program includes not only the results of investing the grant itself, but also the results of the other investments the institution is able to make by re-directing its own funds away from the areas covered with the grant. These impacts may be in the area of research support or also in the institution's renewed ability to meet the other aspects of its mandate.

Has your institution redirected some of its own operating funds as a result of the Indirect Costs Program?

Х	Yes	No
~	100	110

If "yes", please provide an explanation.

The Indirect Costs program has allowed the University to redirect funds to the academic priorities of the institution. Through the annual budget process, the increases to the annual IDC allocation have allowed a concomitant reduction in the budget lines now supported by the Indirect Costs program.

4. Other overall impacts

If the Indirect Costs Program has had other overall impacts on your institution, which were not listed in the previous questions, please provide details.

File Number



Section VII - Public Disclosure Requirement for Institutions

As of June 30, 2012, institutions are required to post a few elements of information on the indirect costs of research and the Program on their website. Please copy and paste below the URL of the webpage where this information is posted.

File Number

P0037

http://www.mta.ca/Community/Administrative_departments/Financial_Services/Financial_reports/Indirect_cost_of_research_program/Indire ct_cost_of_research_program/

Section VIII - Your comments

Describe any problem you have experienced with the Indirect Costs Program, suggest improvements to the program, or highlight particular successes of the program at your institution.



Page 14 of 14